

1 THE HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 JULIE NEUMILLER, )  
11 Plaintiff, ) Case No. 2:22-cv-00610-TSZ  
12 v. ) ORDER REMANDING MATTER FOR  
13 HARTFORD LIFE AND ACCIDENT ) FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE  
14 INSURANCE COMPANY, ) PROCEEDINGS  
15 Defendant. )  
16

17 THIS MATTER comes before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Order Remanding  
18 Matter for Further "Administrative" Proceedings, docket no. 23. Having reviewed all papers  
19 filed in support of and in opposition to the motion, the Court enters the following Order:  
20

21 The Ninth Circuit's Decision, docket no. 22, indicates that the Administrative Record  
22 does not include sufficient information regarding the Trimester Bonuses at issue in this matter to  
23 determine whether Defendant Hartford Life and Accident Insurance Company ("Hartford Life")  
24 appropriately included the entire Trimester Bonus in the calculation of Plaintiff's February 2022  
25 Current Monthly Earnings ("CME").  
26

27 This Court therefore finds that this matter should be remanded to Hartford Life so that  
the parties may develop the Administrative Record to include sufficient information regarding  
Plaintiff's Trimester Bonuses to permit Hartford Life to review and, if necessary, revise its  
benefits decision consistent with the Ninth Circuit's Decision.  
28

29 ORDER REMANDING MATTER  
30 FOR FURTHER ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS - 1  
31 Case No. 2:22-cv-00610-TSZ

32 JENSEN MORSE BAKER PLLC  
33 1809 SEVENTH AVENUE, SUITE 410  
34 SEATTLE, WA 98101  
35 PHONE: 206.682.1550

1 For the foregoing reasons, the Court hereby ORDERS:

2 (1) Plaintiff's Motion for Remand, docket no. 23, is GRANTED.

3 (2) This matter is REMANDED to Defendant Hartford Life with instructions that the  
4 parties develop the Administrative Record to include sufficient information regarding Plaintiff's  
5 Trimester Bonuses to permit Hartford Life to review and, if necessary, revise its benefits decision  
6 consistent with the Ninth Circuit's Decision.

7 (3) The remand is governed by ERISA's claim management regulations, 29 C.F.R.  
8 § 2560.503-1, including that Plaintiff may submit an appeal to Defendant pursuant to 29 C.F.R.  
9 § 2560.503-1(h) if it makes any adverse determination.

10 (4) For administrative purposes, the Clerk is DIRECTED to CLOSE this case and to send  
11 a copy of this Order to all counsel of record. The Court retains jurisdiction over this matter, and  
12 either party may promptly move to reopen the case if necessary after the parties conclude the  
13 additional administrative proceedings.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED this 14th day of August, 2023.

15  
16   
17

18 Thomas S. Zilly  
19 United States District Judge  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27